

Representor 001

Submitted 08/02/11 via email

Reference number: CD0378

Thank you for circulating the proposed minor change to policy CS13 and its reasoned justification.

I would confirm that subject to the amendments to the policy and its reasoned justification as detailed in paras 3.1 and 3.2 respectively, I am content that these elements of my client's objections are addressed.

There were two further issues;

Criterion a I asked that the word 'sustainable' be changed to 'acceptably located'. My recollection is that after some discussion it was agreed this would be left to the Inspector to determine.

Final sentence I would maintain the request for this to be prefixed "In allocating sites ...". In this respect windfall sites would be assessed against criteria a - e and presumably have to perform acceptably. My concern about the final sentence being applied to windfall sites is that it suggests a sequential assessment and I can see practical difficulties in the application of this to a proposal for a small traveller site, how far would the assessment need to go for example? Thinking about the sites I have been involved in in the past, a sequential assessment could be almost endless, sites rarely if ever immediately adjoining the existing urban area. Would every parcel of land between have to be assessed? This goes to the reasonableness and usability of the proposed policy.

I would be grateful if these further comments could be passed to the Inspector.

Please feel free to contact me if any further information is required.

regards

**Andrew Moss**  
**Associate (Chartered Town Planner), Property Department**  
**Newcastle Office**  
**Ward Hadaway**

Direct Line: +44 (0) 191 204 4118

Direct Fax: +44 (0) 191 204 4110

Email : andrew.moss@wardhadaway.com